

**From:** [Holloman, Rachel](#)  
**To:** [Koch, Erin](#); [Han, Kaythi](#)  
**Cc:** [Kadeli, Annie](#); [Kenny, Daniel](#); [Rosenblatt, Daniel](#); [Goodis, Michael](#)  
**Subject:** RE: Prop 65  
**Date:** Wednesday, March 07, 2018 7:13:49 PM  
**Attachments:** [Prop 65 desk statement 372018 LP RCH.esk.rch.docx](#)  
[image00001.png](#)

---

<!--[if lte mso 15 || CheckWebRef]-->

Holloman, Rachel has shared a OneDrive for Business file with you. To view it, click the link below.

 [Prop 65 desk statement 372018 LP RCH.esk.rch.docx](#)

---

<!--[endif]-->

Thanks! I like your transition and made very minor tweaks. I think this version is ready to go forward. This will alleviate some of the questions around what the agency is doing on this issue. At least they will know that we will be working with CA.

---

**From:** Koch, Erin  
**Sent:** Wednesday, March 07, 2018 1:11 PM  
**To:** Holloman, Rachel <[Holloman.Rachel@epa.gov](mailto:Holloman.Rachel@epa.gov)>; Han, Kaythi <[Han.Kaythi@epa.gov](mailto:Han.Kaythi@epa.gov)>  
**Cc:** Kadeli, Annie <[Kadeli.Annie@epa.gov](mailto:Kadeli.Annie@epa.gov)>; Kenny, Daniel <[Kenny.Dan@epa.gov](mailto:Kenny.Dan@epa.gov)>  
**Subject:** RE: Prop 65

Rachel,

I'm still not quite clear what the intended message is. But based on what you've put together, I've revised this to at least add a little more background and transition between ideas. Please review these changes and if you don't have any concerns, take them up your chain.

Thanks,

Erin

---

**From:** Holloman, Rachel  
**Sent:** Tuesday, March 06, 2018 1:58 PM  
**To:** Han, Kaythi <[Han.Kaythi@epa.gov](mailto:Han.Kaythi@epa.gov)>  
**Cc:** Kadeli, Annie <[Kadeli.Annie@epa.gov](mailto:Kadeli.Annie@epa.gov)>; Kenny, Daniel <[Kenny.Dan@epa.gov](mailto:Kenny.Dan@epa.gov)>; Koch, Erin <[Koch.Erin@epa.gov](mailto:Koch.Erin@epa.gov)>  
**Subject:** RE: Prop 65

Great!

---

**From:** Han, Kaythi  
**Sent:** Tuesday, March 06, 2018 1:54 PM  
**To:** Holloman, Rachel <[Holloman.Rachel@epa.gov](mailto:Holloman.Rachel@epa.gov)>  
**Cc:** Kadeli, Annie <[Kadeli.Annie@epa.gov](mailto:Kadeli.Annie@epa.gov)>; Kenny, Daniel <[Kenny.Dan@epa.gov](mailto:Kenny.Dan@epa.gov)>  
**Subject:** RE: Prop 65

It would be good to get OGC's approval on this desk statement. To simplify things, why don't we just wait to see how they respond to your email from Thursday?

Thanks!

Kaythi Han

Team Leader, Communications

[Office of Pesticide Programs](#)

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency  
(703) 305-5642 | [han.kaythi@epa.gov](mailto:han.kaythi@epa.gov)

---

**From:** Holloman, Rachel

**Sent:** Monday, March 05, 2018 1:17 PM

**To:** Han, Kaythi <[Han.Kaythi@epa.gov](mailto:Han.Kaythi@epa.gov)>

**Cc:** Kadeli, Annie <[Kadeli.Annie@epa.gov](mailto:Kadeli.Annie@epa.gov)>; Kenny, Daniel <[Kenny.Dan@epa.gov](mailto:Kenny.Dan@epa.gov)>

**Subject:** RE: Prop 65

Hi Kaythi, I spoke with Dan Kenny. We both agreed to use the one I sent you on Thursday. Do you get OGC's approval? I had also contacted them on Thursday for comments. Thanks!

Rachel Holloman, Chief  
Fungicide and Herbicide Branch,  
Registration Division, OPP, OCSPP, EPA  
(703)305-7193  
[holloman.rachel@epa.gov](mailto:holloman.rachel@epa.gov)

---

**From:** Han, Kaythi

**Sent:** Monday, March 05, 2018 11:08 AM

**To:** Holloman, Rachel <[Holloman.Rachel@epa.gov](mailto:Holloman.Rachel@epa.gov)>

**Cc:** Kadeli, Annie <[Kadeli.Annie@epa.gov](mailto:Kadeli.Annie@epa.gov)>

**Subject:** RE: Prop 65

Hi Rachel,

Here's what Annie put together. Sorry for going to Dan K. first, we assumed his branch was working on Prop 65 since the HB works on glyphosate.

This desk statement has more of a focus on glyphosate's cancer assessment, which is why PRD was looped in. It also contains 2016 language from OGC that we used for answering webmail. If you don't think this is the direction the desk statement should go in, please let us know and we will defer to the version you shared on Thursday.

Thanks,

Kaythi Han

Team Leader, Communications

[Office of Pesticide Programs](#)

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency  
(703) 305-5642 | [han.kaythi@epa.gov](mailto:han.kaythi@epa.gov)

---

**From:** Holloman, Rachel

**Sent:** Thursday, March 01, 2018 6:42 PM

**To:** Han, Kaythi <[Han.Kaythi@epa.gov](mailto:Han.Kaythi@epa.gov)>

**Cc:** Kadeli, Annie <[Kadeli.Annie@epa.gov](mailto:Kadeli.Annie@epa.gov)>

**Subject:** RE: Prop 65

Hi Kaythi,

Please let me know what you have developed. I have been working with Erin Koch, Dan Rosenblatt, Mike Goodis, Donna Davis and Rick Keigwin on Prop 65 for RD.

Rachel Holloman, Chief  
Fungicide and Herbicide Branch,  
Registration Division, OPP, OCSPP, EPA

(703)305-7193

[holloman.rachel@epa.gov](mailto:holloman.rachel@epa.gov)

---

**From:** Han, Kaythi

**Sent:** Thursday, March 01, 2018 6:31 PM

**To:** Holloman, Rachel <[Holloman.Rachel@epa.gov](mailto:Holloman.Rachel@epa.gov)>

**Cc:** Kadeli, Annie <[Kadeli.Annie@epa.gov](mailto:Kadeli.Annie@epa.gov)>

**Subject:** FW: Prop 65

Hi Rachel,

We were working with Dan Kenny on this, and he suggested running what Annie drafted by PRD. Should we try to combine our 2 desk statements?

Thanks,

Kaythi

---

**From:** Holloman, Rachel

**Sent:** Thursday, March 01, 2018 5:56 PM

**To:** Koch, Erin <[Koch.Erin@epa.gov](mailto:Koch.Erin@epa.gov)>; Rosenblatt, Daniel <[Rosenblatt.Dan@epa.gov](mailto:Rosenblatt.Dan@epa.gov)>; Pahel, Lisa <[Pahel.Lisa@epa.gov](mailto:Pahel.Lisa@epa.gov)>

**Cc:** Goodis, Michael <[Goodis.Michael@epa.gov](mailto:Goodis.Michael@epa.gov)>; Han, Kaythi <[Han.Kaythi@epa.gov](mailto:Han.Kaythi@epa.gov)>; Davis, Donna <[Davis.Donna@epa.gov](mailto:Davis.Donna@epa.gov)>

**Subject:** Prop 65

Hi Erin and Dan, Lisa and I took a shot at both the letter and the desk statement. Please see attached.

Rachel Holloman, Chief

Fungicide and Herbicide Branch,

Registration Division, OPP, OCSPP, EPA

(703)305-7193

[holloman.rachel@epa.gov](mailto:holloman.rachel@epa.gov)